An Observation on Measurement

Perfection of the Sciences p.roses.mb@gmail.com

(C) Paris (s.) Miles-Brenden

April 26, 2024

Abstract

The general method of implementation of approach to electromagnetism, that of circuit topology, interconnection, and test-phase remains somewhat of an artform in the sciences. This may be considered a conclusive limitation of both the evolution of knowledge in the view of physics, and a certain deficit of the social and individual claim to a basis in experimental and theoretical sciences under application. It is the belief of the author that which this comparative style is something of a contradiction, it remains an ample venue for claim to development beyond the margins of science, for because by the proper marriage of experiment and theory, physics, and technology advance. Without an agreed basis to the dispute of the social normative valuation of science in technology, and a view at proper mechanisms for securable technology, with a blind spot, we remain unreclaimed to our world, for that of the innate nature of historical limitations of import of philosophy, to which the future historiological aim repeats mistakes that are in vague, and often unrelated nature to the past. In a world where artificial intelligence is emerging and being developed, it remains important to invest in the guarantee of a mechanism of optimal control, and reliable protective nature of security and it's foundation, based on retention of the human nature of our investment over eras and our destination.

Introduction

First, we develop a theorem of a probabilistic chart of likelihood at Fisher and Bayes normative valuation of Lipshitz measures in the distribution weighed to randomly defined variables of a statistical nature in relation to historical present and past to future categorical projection, such as:

$$M_{F/\bar{B}}(\eta,\mu) \to N(\xi_{\alpha\beta},\zeta_{\alpha\beta}) \to Spec_B(N,\nu) \to [N_i:M_j]_k \tag{1}$$

This expresses the validation of a Fisher 'lemma of likelihood' in the applied sciences over the spectrum at the closure of the Bayes 'predicate direct chain of consequence' for a mapping of algebraic varieties, for in expression of valuations of independent and codependent variable taxonomies, for the assignment of a quantum measurement is directly related to it's conditional expectation of observation. Secondarily, the validation of the prior is essentially when as-such there is a homotopy and cohomology, with a knotted structure:

$$H^{p}_{n,m,l}(\nu) \to B^{r}_{n,m,l}(P_{r}(N:M) \le \epsilon) \ne \kappa(\epsilon) \to K^{p}_{r}(\alpha,\beta)$$
⁽²⁾